## Case 1:10-cv-00218-CL Document 35 Filed 01/19/11 Page 1 of 2

FILED 11 JAN 19 10:14USIC-ORM

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

LEWIS EDWARD LARSON,

Civ. No. 10-218-CL

Plaintiff,

v.

BRIAN COOK, et al.,

ORDER

Defendants.

## PANNER, District Judge:

Magistrate Judge Mark D. Clarke filed a Report and Recommendation, and the matter is now before this court. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B), Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of a Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, the district court makes a <u>de novo</u> determination of that portion of the Magistrate Judge's report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); <u>McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Bus. Mach.</u>, <u>Inc.</u>, 656 F. 2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981).

Here, plaintiff objects to the Report and Recommendation, so

1 - ORDER

I have reviewed this matter <u>de novo</u>. I agree with Judge Clarke that plaintiff cannot show a violation of his due process or equal protection rights based on the loss of a prison job. Accordingly, I ADOPT the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Clarke.

## CONCLUSION

Magistrate Judge Clarke's Report and Recommendation (#29) is adopted. Defendants' motion to dismiss (#21) is granted with prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of January, 2010.

OWEN M. PANNER

U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE